

1 BRIAN J. STRETCH (CABN 163973)
United States Attorney

2 BARBARA J. VALLIERE (DCBN 439353)
3 Chief, Criminal Division

4 SHIAO LEE (CABN 257413)
Assistant United States Attorney

5 450 Golden Gate Avenue, 11th Floor
6 San Francisco, California 94102-3495
7 Telephone: (415) 436-6924
8 FAX: (415) 436-7234
shiao.lee@usdoj.gov

9 Attorneys for United States of America

10 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
11 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
12 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

13 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)	CASE NO. CR 12-00830 RS
14)	
15 Plaintiff,)	
16 v.)	STIPULATION AND PROPOSED ORDER
17 KEVIN THOMAS TRASK,)	EXCLUDING TIME UNDER THE
18 Defendants.)	SPEEDY TRIAL ACT FROM MAY 16, 2017 TO
)	JUNE 20, 2017.
)	

19
20 On May 16, 2017, defendant and his attorney appeared before this Court. At the hearing, the
21 Court directed the parties to appear on June 20, 2017 for a further status conference. The parties agree
22 that the additional time is necessary for effective preparation of counsel. Therefore, the parties agree
23 and jointly request that the time between May 16, 2017 and June 20, 2017, should be excluded in order
24 to provide reasonable time necessary for the effective preparation of counsel pursuant to 18 U.S.C. §
25 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv). The parties agree that the ends of justice served by granting the continuance
26 outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial.

1 DATED: May 18, 2017

Respectfully Submitted,

2 BRIAN J. STRETCH
3 United States Attorney

4 /s/ Shiao Lee
5 SHIAO LEE
6 Assistant United States Attorney


7 /s/ Geoffrey Hansen
8 GEOFFREY HANSEN
9 Attorney for Defendant Kevin Thomas Trask

10
11 **~~[PROPOSED]~~ ORDER**

12 For the reasons stated above, the Court finds that the exclusion of time from May 16, 2017,
13 through and including June 20, 2017, is warranted and that the ends of justice served by the continuance
14 outweigh the best interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A).
15 The failure to grant the requested continuance would deny effective preparation of counsel, and would
16 result in a miscarriage of justice. 18 U.S.C. §§ 3161 (h)(7)(B)(iv).

17 IT IS SO ORDERED.

18
19 DATED: 5/18/17

20 
21 HON. RICHARD SEEBORG
22 United States District Court Judge
23
24
25
26
27
28